BOROUGH COUNCIL OF KING'S LYNN & WEST NORFOLK

ENVIRONMENT AND COMMUNITY PANEL

Minutes from the Meeting of the Environment and Community Panel held on Tuesday, 8th December, 2020 at 3.00 pm - Remote Meeting on Zoom and available for the public to view on WestNorfolkBC on You Tube - Zoom and You Tube

PRESENT: Councillors C Sampson (Chair), L Bambridge, C Bower, A Bubb, J Collop (substitute for M Wilkinson), S Collop, M de Whalley, J Kirk, C Morley (substitute for A Bullen), J Rust (substitute for S Squire), A Ryves (substitute for A Kemp) and V Spikings (substitute for J Lowe)

PORTFOLIO HOLDERS:

Councillor S Dark – Portfolio Holder for Environmental Services and Public Protection.

Councillor P Kunes – Portfolio Holder for Climate Change and Commercial Services.

Councillor B Long – Leader of the Council

Councillor E Nockolds – Portfolio Holder for Culture, Heritage and Health.

MEMBERS PRESENT UNDER STANDING ORDER 34: Councillors Bullen, Devereux and Holmes

OFFICERS:

Martin Chisholm – Assistant Director Vicki Hopps – Environmental Health Manager Peter Jermany - Principal Planner Policy & Water Management Officer

BY INVITATION: Paul Burrows – Environment Agency

EC140: WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION

The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting and advised that the meeting was being streamed live on You Tube.

Click here to view the recording of the meeting.

EC141: APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Bullen, Lowe, Kemp, Squire and Wilkinson.

EC142: MINUTES

Click here to view the recording of this item on You Tube.

RESOLVED: The Minutes from the previous meeting were agreed as a correct record subject to EC134 where Councillor de Whalleys suggestion on the proposed Terms of Reference be amended to read 'make recommendations' rather than 'made recommendations'.

EC143: **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

Click here to view the recording of this item.

Councillor Long declared a non-pecuniary interest in item EC148 as he was a Council appointed representative on the Regional Flood and Coastal Committee.

Councillor Devereux declared a non-pecuniary interest in EC148 as he was an Environment Agency appointee on the Regional Flood and Coastal Committee.

EC144: URGENT BUSINESS

There was none.

EC145: MEMBERS PRESENT PURSUANT TO STANDING ORDER 34

Councillors Holmes and Bullen.

EC146: CHAIR'S CORRESPONDENCE

There was none.

EC147: CLIMATE CHANGE INFORMAL WORKING GROUP

Click here to view the You Tube recording of this item.

The Chair informed the Panel that Members of the Climate Change Informal Working Group had now been appointed. The Members of the Group would be Councillors Holmes, de Whalley, Bubb, Hipperson, Kirk, Rust and Spikings.

The Group would start to meet in the New Year and report back to the Environment and Community Panel as appropriate.

EC148: FLOOD & COASTAL EROSION RISK MANAGEMENT UPDATE FROM THE ENVIRONMENT AGENCY'S PERSPECTIVE

Click here to view the You Tube recording of this item.

The Chair welcomed Paul Burrows from the Environment Agency to the meeting.

Paul Burrows gave a presentation to Members on Flood and Coastal Erosion Risk Management, as attached.

The Chair thanked Paul Burrows for his presentation and invited questions and comments from the Panel, as summarised below.

In response to a question from Councillor Bambridge, Paul Burrows explained that trees in the right places were important and this could be considered in the future as appropriate.

Councillor Bubb asked about the amenity value of coastal areas and Paul Burrows explained that his role was to look at flood risk and joint working would be carried out to make areas attractive for tourists.

Councillor Rust asked about how areas were protected and Paul Burrows explained that Government set the Policy but did not look at specific areas, this was the role of the Environment Agency and other partners and was determined locally by looking at the choices for the future. Funding would then need to be considered for the range of options available.

In response to a question from Councillor Morley, Paul Burrows explained that the Environment Agency did work with Water Resources East on water retention.

Councillor Ryves asked about finances and Paul Burrows explained that a high level assessment had taken place and this would be used to inform investment strategies and approaches. Comments were also made about how flood risk was considered during the planning process.

Councillor Bullen addressed the Panel under Standing Order 34. He asked about the silting of the Wash and Paul Burrows explained that work was carried out with Local Authorities across the Wash to look at issues as appropriate and these could be built into the Shoreline Management Plan.

In response to a question from Councillor de Whalley, Paul Burrows clarified that the strategy was flexible in terms of sea levels and tactical plans were in place for the next fifteen years whilst the long term plans were being developed. He also provided detail on the Great Fen, converting areas to wetland and pumping stations.

Councillor Devereux addressed the Panel under Standing Order 34 and it was confirmed that joined up working was carried out with Local Authorities around the Fens and Wash.

RESOLVED: The update was noted and the Environment Agency would be invited back to the Panel in a year's time to provide a further update if appropriate.

EC149: TEMPORARY EVENT NOTICES AND COVID

Click here to view the You Tube recording of this item.

A request for this item to be considered had been received from Councillor Ryves.

The Environmental Health Manager provided information to the Panel on the powers available to the Council and current legislation relating to Licensing.

Councillor Ryves addressed the Panel expressing his concerns about ensuring events were Covid secure and events in the rural community. He also referred to public safety, planning and highways and the statutory consultation process in relation to the Licensing process and Temporary Event Notices.

Councillor Ryves also raised concerns relating to noise pollution and the Environmental Health Manager explained that this did fall within one of the four Licensing Objectives; public nuisance and any objections from statutory consultees would need to be evidenced based. She also explained that the Licensing process had to consider Licensing Legislation and the four Licensing Objectives, other Legislation, for example Health and Safety, would have to be adhered to by the event organiser, but this was not a consideration for Licensing.

Councillor Ryves made comments about the local environment, especially if events were held near sites of special interest, and was concerned that this was not included in the Licensing regime. The Environmental Health Manager confirmed that this was not one of the four Licensing Objectives.

The Chair acknowledged the concerns expressed by Councillor Ryves, but explained that the Licensing regime was governed by Legislation and the Council could only work within the powers of it. He suggested that Councillor Ryves could try lobbying his Member of Parliament.

Councillor Kunes commented that Temporary Event Notices were a way of ensuring that one off events were carried out legally, could be controlled and have the necessary plans in place. He was concerned that if the Council tried to stop this it could result in events being held illegally.

Councillor Ryves suggested a designated area in the Borough for temporary events. He was also concerned that Parish Councils were sometimes unaware of Temporary Event Notices. Those present were reminded that all applications were published on the Council's website.

The Environmental Health Manager reminded those present that the Council had to work within the realms of the Legislation.

Councillor Dark, Portfolio Holder for Environmental Services addressed the Panel. He explained that officers did work within the realms of the Legislation and referred Members to the briefing note which had been circulated with the Agenda which included advice from the Licensing and Legal Department.

Councillor Ryves proposed that an Informal Working Group be established to look in depth at the Licensing Regime and if there was any flexibility. This was seconded by Councillor Rust.

After being put to the vote the proposal to set up an Informal Working Group was lost.

EC150: WORK PROGRAMME AND FORWARD DECISION LIST

Click here to view the You Tube recording of this item.

Councillor Bubb suggested an update on the Docks.

RESOLVED: The Panel's Work Programme was noted.

EC151: **DATE OF THE NEXT MEETING**


The next meeting of the Environment and Community Panel would be held on 26th January 2021.

The meeting closed at 4.56 pm

Borough Council of King's Lynn & West Norfolk

Environment & Community Panel, 8th Dec 2020

Paul Burrows
Flood & Coastal Risk Manager
Environment Agency






Proud moments













Proud moments








Why we need a new Strategy

- Climate change is happening now and is already causing more frequent, intense flooding and sea level rise.
- The FCERM Strategy offers a **new long-term approach** to improve the resilience of the nation, setting out national ambitions for England that work for every place.
- The FCERM Strategy has close alignment with the Defra flood and coastal erosion risk management policy statement





Strategy ambitions



Climate resilient places



Today's growth and infrastructure resilient in tomorrow's climate



A nation ready to respond and adapt to flooding and coastal change





Embedding a new approach to resilience

IMPROVE PLACEMAKING:

Making the best land use and development choices to manage flooding and coastal change

PLAN TO ADAPT

BETTER PROTECT:

Building and maintaining defences and managing the flow of water

RECOVER QUICKLY:

Getting back to normal and building back better

READY TO RESPOND:

Preparing for and responding effectively to incidents



Net Zero by 2030






We need to both limit future climate change as well as adapt to the climate change that now cannot be stopped.




Delivering the National FCERM Strategy locally

- Anglian Flood Risk Management
 Plan inc King's Lynn & Hunstanton
 Flood Risk Areas
- The Wash Shoreline Management Plan
- Norfolk Local Flood Risk Management Strategy
- Next generation Wash East Coastal Management Strategy
- Future Fens





The challenge – the fens 'do nothing' scenario



Key flood risk infrastructure Relief channel - part of the Ely Ouse Flood Protection Scheme. Tail Sluice - One of the biggest pieces of flood risk Tidal infrastructure in the country. Part of Ely Ouse Flood Protections Scheme. Allows St Germans Pumping Station Denver complex - a combination of discharge from Relief Channel structures at the confluence of 5 at low tide. watercourses. Key hydraulic control Head Sluice part of Ely Ouse Flood Protection Scheme. Cut-off Channel - part of the Ely Ouse Flood Protection Scheme Middle Level Welmore Lake Sluice controls discharge from River Delph in to the Tidal River Old Bedford Sluice - water South Level from Counter Drain can discharge at low tide. Ouse Washes Welches Dam Pumping Station -

Welches Dam Pumping Station – pumps water from the Counter Drain when gravity discharge not possible.

Future Fens: Flood Risk Management Technical Group















Anglian Great Ouse Regional Flood and Coastal Committee (RFCC)










Short Term: Tactical Plans

- 3 Tactical Plans
- Covers next 15 years
- ALL FCRM assets
 - Economics
 - Benefits apportionment



Phase 1 Objectives, Funding and Timescales



- ge Current funding from Grant in Aid and Local Levy
- Risk Management Authorities provided in-kind contribution of data and support
- Baseline Report with updated economics for all FRM assets
- Understanding the scale of the funding challenge
- Identify beneficiaries
- Shared understanding across the Technical Group
- Visualisation tools to help extensive engagement
- Identify any gaps and opportunities in the gauging and survey data
- Crucial to success of future phases


• Phase 1 identified £17.1 billion worth of benefits from the current flood risk management regime with a further £5.3 billion of benefits to the local economy.


• The total investment needed of £1.8 billion is to sustain the current Standard of Service. It does not include any future asset improvements.












Looking forward



Outfall of the Gt Ouse into The Wash